

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,190	03/02/2004)4	Frank L. Hall	4718.3US (00-0316.03/US)	1971
24247	7590 06/2	/20/2006		EXAMINER	
TRASK BR	ITT	HEINRICH, SAMUEL M			
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1725	
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Anntication No.	Applicant(s)					
	Application No.						
Office Action Commons	10/791,190	HALL, FRANK L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.	•						
<u> </u>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been received in	ation No ved in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/06;5/23/06.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/791,190

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The apparatus claims are written using a narrative form describing both method steps and workpiece limitations which do not positively describe the features of the apparatus. Claim 4, line 1, "An molding" in non idiomatic. Claims 4, 7, and 13, last line, "the automolding system" has no clear antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,352,107 to Oh in view of USPN 6,221,690 to Taniguchi et al. Oh describes the old and well known use of an automold system for molding semiconductor packages. Taniguchi et al describe (Abstract) "removing the unnecessary solder resist under irradiation of a laser" in the production of a semiconductor package. Taniguchi et al also describe (column 6, last paragraph) removal of resist and subsequently "encapsulating resin 9 was injected into the mold". The use of the laser in an automold

Art Unit: 1725

system would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the use of the laser in the molding process is well known and the close association of tooling provides rapid production.

Both Nd:Yag and CO2 lasers are well known for ablation and the substitution of an Nd:Yag for the CO2 disclosed by Taniguchi et al would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because both are readily available and are capable of ablation.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP404113663A in view either of USPN 5,352,107 to Oh or USPN 6,465,743 to Owens. JP404113663A describes well known combination of a laser and a transfer molding machine. Both Oh and Owens describe well known automolding machines. The use of the laser in an automold system would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the automold system provides closely associated tooling for rapid production. Nd:Yag lasers are well known for ablation and the substitution of an Nd:Yag for the "laser" disclosed by JP404113663A would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because both are readily available and are capable of ablation.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 10/791,190

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725